School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2016/17 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Nar	ne of School:	Kwun Tong Maryknoll College								
Staff-in-charge:		Li Man Shun		Contact Tel. No.:	27171485					
I)			nefitted under the Grant isBBB	under school's dis	cretionary quota)					

II) Information on Activities subsidised/complemented by the Grant:

* Name / Type of activity	*Actual no. of participating eligible students		ing	Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	В	C			(.,		applicable)	affective outcome)
English Tutorial Class (1st Term)	8	33	4	93%	Oct 16 – Dec 16	\$20,700	Teachers' observation		
English Tutorial Class (2 nd Term)	7	31	1	87%	Mar 17 – Jun 17	\$21,060	Teachers' observation		
Mathematics Tutorial Class (1st Term)	4	12		85%	Oct 16 – Dec 16	\$7,360	Teachers' observation		
Mathematics Tutorial Class (2 nd Term)	0	16	1	86%	Mar 17 – Jun 17	\$9,180	Teachers' observation		
Chinese Music Instrument Class	2	13		80%	Sept 16 – Aug 17	\$18,000	Tutors' observation	新聲樂器行	
Basketball Training Class	1	6		96%	Sept 16 – Aug 17	\$6,300	Teachers' observation, students' feedback		
Adventure-based Training Courses for F.3 students	9	25		100%	Oct 16 – May 17	\$10,880	Teachers' observation, students' feedback		
Total no. of activities: 7									
@No. of man-times	31	136	6		TetalFerman	400.400			
**Total no. of man-times	173				Total Expenses:	\$93,480			

Note:

^{*} Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

III) Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

DI	Improved			No		Not
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning			√			
b) Students' study skills			V			
c) Students' academic achievement			✓			
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom						✓
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness			✓			
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem			√			
g) Students' self-management skills			√			
h) Students' social skills		√				
i) Students' interpersonal skills		V				
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		√				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling			✓			
l) Students' outlook on life			√			
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social			/			
development						
Community Involvement	1	1		1	1	
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and						V
voluntary activities						
o) Students' sense of belonging						V
p) Students' understanding on the community						V
q) Your overall view on students' community						✓

IV) Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

(You may tick more than one box)

unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);

difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;

eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;

the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;

tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;

the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;

complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;

the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;

Others (Please specify):

Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)