

School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2017/18 s.y.
School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School: Kwun Tong Maryknoll College
 Staff-in-charge: Li Man Shun Contact Tel. No.: 27171485

I) The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is 100
 (including A. 20 CSSA recipients; B. 80 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 0 under school's discretionary quota)

II) Information on Activities subsidised/complemented by the Grant:

* Name / Type of activity	# Actual no. of participating eligible students			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	B	C						
Mathematics Tutorial Class (1 st Term)	6	25	0	85%	Oct 16 – Dec 17	\$12,777	Teachers' observation		
Mathematics Tutorial Class (2 nd Term)	4	26	0	86%	Mar 17 – Jun 18	\$13,500	Teachers' observation		
Adventure-based Training Courses for F.3 students	9	24	0	100%	Oct 17 – May 18	\$10,890	Teachers' observation, students' feedback		
Total no. of activities: <u>3</u>									
@No. of man-times	20	74	0		Total Expenses:	\$37,167			
**Total no. of man-times	84								

Note:

* Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

III) Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

<i>Please put a “✓” against the most appropriate box.</i>	Improved			No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students’ motivation for learning			✓			
b) Students’ study skills			✓			
c) Students’ academic achievement			✓			
d) Students’ learning experience outside classroom						✓
e) Your overall view on students’ learning effectiveness			✓			
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students’ self-esteem			✓			
g) Students’ self-management skills			✓			
h) Students’ social skills		✓				
i) Students’ interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students’ cooperativeness with others		✓				
k) Students’ attitudes toward schooling			✓			
l) Students’ outlook on life			✓			
m) Your overall view on students’ personal and social development			✓			
Community Involvement						
n) Students’ participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities						✓
o) Students’ sense of belonging						✓
p) Students’ understanding on the community						✓
q) Your overall view on students’ community						✓

IV) Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

(You may tick more than one box)

- unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
- difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
- eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;
- the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
- tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
- the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
- complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
- the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
- Others (Please specify): _____

V) Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? *(optional)*
